On skewon modification of the light cone structure

Yakov Itin*
Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
and Jerusalem College of Technology, Jerusalem, Israel.
(Dated: January 9, 2015)

Electromagnetic media with generic linear response provide a rich class of Lorentz violation models. In the framework of a general covariant metric-free approach, we study electromagnetic wave propagation in these media. We define the notion of an optic tensor and present its unique canonical irreducible decomposition into the principle and skewon parts. The skewon contribution to the Minkowski vacuum is a subject that does not arise in the ordinary models of Lorentz violation based on a modified Lagrangian. We derive the covector parametrization of the skewon optic tensor and discuss its $U(1)$-gauge symmetry. We obtain several compact expressions for the contribution of the principle and skewon optic tensor to the dispersion relation. As an application of the technique proposed here, we consider the case of a generic skewon tensor contributed to a simple metric-type principle part. Our main result: Every solution of the skewon modified Minkowski dispersion relation is necessary spacelike or null. It provides an extreme violation of the Lorentz symmetry. The case of the antisymmetric skewon is studied in detail and some new special cases (electric, magnetic, and degenerate) are discovered. In the case of a skewon represented by a symmetric matrix, we observe a parametric gap that has some similarity to the Higgs model. We worked out a set of specific examples that justify the generic properties of the skewon models and demonstrate the different types of the Lorentz violation phenomena.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 41.20.Jb, 04.20.Gz

arXiv:1407.6722v2 [hep-th] 8 Jan 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

As it is well known, the plain wave solution of the Maxwell electrodynamics system yields the dispersion relation $\omega^2-\mathbf{k}^2=0$, which is the characteristic expression of Minkowski geometry. Propagation of electromagnetic waves on a curved manifold of GR is governed by the dispersion relation of a similar form $g^{ij}q_iq_j=0$, where $q_i=(\omega,\mathbf{k})$ is a wave covector. From this basic expression of the pseudo-Riemann geometry one immediately derives that GR does not modify the point-wise light cone structure.

On the other hand, modern field theories usually predict crucial modifications of the light cone structure expressed by an anisotropic dispersion relation, a birefringent vacuum and a violation of local Lorentz and CPT invariance. Such theoretical phenomena emerge in loop quantum gravity [1], [2], string theory [3], [4] and the Very Special Relativity models [5], [6]. Since these models are still very far from their complete form and observational predictions, it is very important to have a phenomenological model that predicts the indicated optics phenomena.

A well known achievement in this direction is the standard model extension (SME) construction due to Kostelecky and al. [7], [8], [9], [10]. In the electromagnetic sector of this construction, one starts with a covariant extension of the electromagnetic Lagrangian involving a set of numerical parameters. the resulting field equations indeed yield solutions with breaking CPT symmetry and birefringence. However, the individual terms in the Lagrangian, apart from their covariance property, remain without a clear physical meaning.

Another approach is based on a generalization of Riemann geometry to a generic Finsler geometry. (See [11], [12] for theoretical description, and [13], [14], and [15] for observable effects analysis.) Since Finslerian geometry is anisotropic from its very definition, the modified dispersion relation with CPT-violation and birefringence naturally emerges in this construction. What is unclear, however, is the physical reason for the Finslerian modification of space-time structure. Moreover, the Finsler geometry of the Lorentz type signature has serious problems with its very definition, that have not been resolved yet, see [16], [17].

In this paper we study an alternative approach inspired by ideas from solid state physics. It is well known that the original formulation of Maxwell's electrodynamics and GR uses the medium analogy to a considerable extent. It is rather natural to expect that solid state analogies may be useful for the modern modifications of GR and other field theories. It should be taken into account that classical GR is dealing only with a relatively simple second order metric tensor while solid state physics (elasticity and electromagnetism) uses tensors of a higher order. In modified field theory models, higher order tensors appear in a natural way.

Electromagnetic wave propagation in media is a classical issue of mathematical physics. The standard presentation of this subject, e.g., [18], [19], [20], [21], is essentially non-relativistic (3-dimensional). Usually textbooks restrict themselves to an isotropic medium characterized by two scalar parameters ε and μ or to an anisotropic medium described by two symmetric 3×3 matrices $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$

*Electronic address: itin@math.huji.ac.il

and $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$. It is clear, however, that a proper description of wave propagation must be presented in terms of 4-dimensional tensorial quantities defined on space-time. This is mainly due to the fact that the very existence of wave propagation should be independent of a motion of an observer.

Furthermore, the propagation of waves in an anisotropic medium must be described by the medium parameters. It is not directly connected to the vacuum Minkowski metric. Thus the description of the electromagnetic wave phenomena must be independent of the metric structure of the space-time. It is well known, [22], [23], [24], [25], that such a metric-free description of classical electromagnetism is admissible. In this generic formalism, vacuum electrodynamics on a flat space-time and even on a curved space-time of general relativity is presented as specially simple cases. Recent investigations established the metric-free electrodynamics as a logically complete construction based on the conservation laws for the electric charge, the magnetic flux, and the energy-momentum current. For details, see [26] and the references given therein.

One of the valuable achievements of this construction is the proof that the most general linear electromagnetic medium is characterized by a set of 36 independent parameters that form a 4-th order pseudo-tensor χ^{ijkl}. This replaces the set of 12 material parameters given by two 6-parametric sets, $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$, in the classical representation. Due to the standard group theory paradigm, the pseudo-tensor χ^{ijkl} is decomposed into three independent pieces: (i) The *principal part* ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}$ with 20 independent components; (ii) The *skewon part* ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$ with 15 independent components; (iii) The *axion part* ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}$ with 1 component. These pieces are unique, canonical, and irreducible under the action of the general linear group $GL(4,\mathbb{R})$. The principle part, ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}$, comes as a covariant extension of the classical set of 12 components of the 3-dimensional tensors $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$. The other two parts, the skewon ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$ and the axion ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}$, do not have classical analogs.

The current paper is devoted to the theoretical description of wave propagation in a generic linear response medium. Our aim is to understand the possible new physical phenomena coming from the additional electromagnetic parameters. Recently there has been considerable progress in this area, [27], [28], [29], [30], [32], [33], [34], [35], [37], [38], [41], [42], [44]. Here we are interested mostly in the skewon effects on the light cone structure. It must be noted that skewon does not contribute to the electromagnetic Lagrangian, thus such effects cannot be appear in Kostelecky's construction nor in Finsler space schemes.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce our basic notations and present the integral Maxwell equations and jump conditions in a metric-free form. We discuss the linear constitutive relation. The decomposition of the constitutive tensor is based on the technique Young diagrams. It proves that the decomposition is irreducible and unique (canonical). In Section 3, we give a generic definition of the wave-type solutions and present the dispersion relation for these solutions. The characteristic system is derived from the jump conditions. We show that it is completely described by a Christoffel-type tensor M^{ij} which is a close analog of the acoustic Christoffel 3D-matrix. We provide a decomposition of M^{ij} into two independent optic tensors P^{ij} and Q^{ij} defined by the principal and the skewon part correspondingly. Moreover, the show that skewon part Q^{ij} is of the form $q_iY_j - q_jY_i$. Thus the covector Y_i completely describes skewon effects. In Section 4, the dispersion relation in terms of the tensors P^{ij} and Q^{ij} is derived. We are able to express the whole contribution of the skewon to the light cone structure in one compact term, which thus allows a qualitative analysis. Section 5 is devoted to an analysis of the skewon effects on the wave propagation in a (pseudo)Riemannian vacuum. In particular, we prove that an arbitrary skewon extends the light cone and thus yields superluminal wave propagation. In section 6 we study the special case of an antisymmetric skewon. We reinstate the known fact that the Fresnel surface separates into two surfaces. We prove that both surfaces have the Lorentz signature and can thus serve as light cones. Moreover we show that for an antisymmetric skewon these cones always intersect along two optic axes. The variety of mutual arrangements is still rich. In particular, we identify the electric, magnetic and degenerate types of antisymmetric skewon. Section 7 deals with the case of the symmetric skewon. We show that in this case wave propagation does not exist for small values of the skewon parameters and reappears only for sufficient big values of the skewon. This behavior is similar to the one exhibited by the Higgs model in particle physics. We describe different parametrizations of the symmetric skewon that can be characterized by the rank of a corresponding matrix. Explicit examples are provided. In the concluding section, we discuss our results and their possible extensions. Some technical details are delayed to the Appendix.

II. A COVARIANT DESCRIPTION OF ELECTROMAGNETISM IN ANISOTROPIC MEDIA

A. Maxwell's system

Our goal is to study wave propagation in an electromagnetic medium with a generic local linear response. Vacuum can be considered as a specific type of a unique "medium" completely characterized by the metric tensor. In contrast, different types of real anisotropic media, be characterized each by their own sets of parameters, may present simultaneously in the same problem. We must, for instance, often deal with two media separated by a thin boundary. In phenomenological models, such a boundary is described by a smooth 2-dimensional surface

which either stationary or moving in 3-dimensional space. In the 4-dimensional space-time representation, the stationary or moving boundaries are described in the same fashion as smooth 3-dimensional hypersurfaces. In such a situation, some components of the field necessary have a jump through the boundary surface. Thus, the electromagnetic field in media is, in general, non-differentiable and the ordinarily used partial differential field equations are not directly applicable. Quite similarly, the non-differentiable fields emerge in geometrical optics at the wave fronts even in the vacuum case.

A well known precise mathematical way to deal with such non-differentiable fields is to consider the integral field equations instead of the differential ones. The most natural objects for integration on a differential manifold are differential forms. From their very definition, the differential forms are correlated to the dimension of the integration domain, thus they provide a covariant formulation of physics laws. For instance, in terms of differential forms, the integral Maxwell equations are given in a compact covariant form

$$\int_{M_2} F = 0\,, \qquad \int_{N_2} \mathcal{H} = \int_{N_3} \mathcal{J}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here F is the even (untwisted) 2-form of *field strength*, while $\mathcal{H}$ is the odd (twisted) 2-form of *excitation*, $\mathcal{J}$ is the odd 3-form of *electric current*. The integration domain M_2 is a smooth closed 2-dimensional surface, while N_3 is a smooth 3-dimensional oriented hyper-surface with a smooth boundary $N_2 = \partial N_3$. The case of non-smooth domains is sometimes also useful for physics applications. There an extension of the formulation given in Eqs.(2.1) can be provided by a limiting procedure. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the case of smooth integration domains.

Eqs.(2.1) have a clear physical interpretation. In particular, the first equation represents the conservation law of the magnetic flux. The second equation of (2.1) may be viewed as a consequence of the electric current conservation law. For the details of such an interpretation, see [26].

B. Covariant jump conditions and wavefront

In order to derive the wave-front conditions, we apply the covariant jump conditions, [42], that are a consequence of the integral Maxwell equations (2.1). Consider an arbitrary smooth non-degenerate hypersurface Σ in space-time. Let its implicit description be given by the equation

$$\varphi(x^i) = 0\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, at an arbitrary point of Σ, the covector $q_i = \partial\varphi/\partial x^i$ is assumed to be well-defined and non-zero. Here and in the sequel, the Roman indices take values in the range $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We define two limit values

$$F_\pm \;=\; \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F(x^i)\big|_{\varphi(x^i)=\pm\varepsilon}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The jump $\big[F\big]$ of the field strength F at the hypersurface Σ is defined as the difference of these two values

$$\big[F\big] = F_+ - F_-\,. \tag{2.4}$$

A similar definition holds for the jump $\big[\mathcal{H}\big]$ of the excitation $\mathcal{H}$.

In the source-free case, the *jump conditions* are given by the following covariant and metric-free equations:

$$\big[F\big] \wedge d\varphi = 0\,, \qquad \big[\mathcal{H}\big] \wedge d\varphi = 0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

These equations are straightforward consequences of the integral field equations (2.1) provided the sources do not enter the region. The tensorial form of Eqs.(2.5) read as

$$\varepsilon^{ijkl}\big[F_{jk}\big]\varphi_{,l} = 0\,, \qquad \big[\mathcal{H}^{ij}\big]\varphi_{,j} = 0\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Here ε^{ijkl} is the permutation pseudotensor normalized by $\varepsilon_{0123} = 1$.

Since the jump conditions (2.5,2.6) are metric-free, they can be used for a wide class of applications. The hyper-surface Σ may represent:

(1) *A Cauchy initial surface* that is ordinarily required to be *time-like.*

(2) *A boundary surface* that separates two different media. It is ordinarily represented by a *space-like* hypersurface.

(3) *A wavefront* that emerges in 4-dimensional geometrical optics as a *light-like* hypersurface.

The covariant boundary surface problem was studied in [31] and [42]. In particular, when the space-time decomposition of the fields F and $\mathcal{H}$ is applied and the fields are assumed to be independent of the time coordinate, Eqs.(2.5) yield the standard boundary conditions for the 3-dimensional fields E, B, D and H. In the current paper, we are dealing with electromagnetic wave propagation, i.e., with the wavefront problems.

C. Constitutive pseudotensor

Eqs.(2.1) present only the formal structure of electromagnetism. They are endowed with a physical content only when a constitutive relation between the fields $\mathcal{H}$ and F is postulated. In general, such a relation can be non-linear and even non-local (as in ferromagnetics). In this paper, we consider the simplest but rather widespread case of a *linear, local constitutive relation:*

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\chi^{ijkl}F_{kl}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Due to its definition, the *constitutive pseudotensor* χ^{ijkl} possess the symmetries

$$\chi^{ijkl} = -\chi^{jikl} = -\chi^{ijlk}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, in 4-dimensional space, χ^{ijkl} has 36 independent components. In general, the constitutive pseudotensor is a field that depends of the point on the manifold.

A useful way to deal with a multi-component tensor, such as χ^{ijkl}, is to decompose it into the sum of simpler independent sub-tensors with fewer components. Naturally, these parts must themselves be tensors of the same rank. Moreover, it is preferable for this decomposition to be a *unique and irreducible.* In this case, to the partial sub-tensors might be endowed with independent physical meaning. So, we are are looking for a decomposition of a 4-rank tensor defined on 4-dimensional space-time. Moreover, we must take into account that χ^{ijkl} is not a general 4-th order tensor, it carries its original symmetries (2.8). In other words, the tensor χ^{ijkl} itself constitutes a subspace of a generic tensor space. Consequently we need a decomposition of an invariant subspace into the direct sum of its invariant (sub)subspaces. A common way to derive such a decomposition is to apply the Young diagram technique. A brief account of this subject is given in [56]. Note that a generic 4-rank tensor (without additional symmetries) is canonically decomposed into five sub-tensors. An important fact that these partial tensors are yet reducible. Their successive decomposition is irreducible but not canonical and thus non-unique. The situation is changed drastically when we decompose a tensor with additional symmetries. First, the Littlewood-Richardson rule restricts the number of the relevant Young's diagrams. In our case, there are only three different types of diagrams



(2.9)

The dimensions of the subspaces depicted in the diagrams (2.9) are 20, 15, and 1, correspondingly. It proves that there is a unique decomposition of the set of the components

$$36 = 20 + 15 + 1\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Inasmuch as there are no invariant subspaces of smaller dimensions, the canonical decomposition of the constitutive pseudotensor into three pieces is unique and irreducible.

Following [26], we denote the decomposition depicted in (2.9) as

$$\chi^{ijkl} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} + {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} + {}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Here the *axion part* ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}$ is represented by the third diagram of (2.9). It represents the complete antisymmetrization of χ^{ijkl} in all its four indices. This pseudotensor has only one independent component. Consequently it can be represented by a pseudoscalar α,

$${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl} = \chi^{[ijkl]} = \alpha\varepsilon^{ijkl}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Such pseudoscalar is a rather popular object in particle physics. Note that in the current setting axion appears rather naturally and is not artificially added.

The *skewon part* ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$ of 15 independent components corresponds to the middle diagram of (2.9). It is expressed as

$${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^{ijkl} - \chi^{klij}\right)\,. \tag{2.13}$$

The *principal part* of 20 independent components corresponds to the first diagram of (2.9). It is expressed as

$${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{6}\Big(2\chi^{ijkl} + 2\chi^{klij} - \chi^{iklj} - \chi^{ljik} - \chi^{iljk} - \chi^{jkil}\Big)\,. \tag{2.14}$$

It is now straightforward to check that the sum of the terms (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14) is equal to the initial tensor χ^{ijkl}. A derivation of the expressions (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14) can be done by the Young diagram technique. The result is equivalent to the derivation based on the 6×6 representation [26]. Group theoretical considerations provide a proof that this decomposition is canonical, unique and irreducible under the action of the general linear group.

III. OPTICS TENSORS

Our goal is to study the propagation of electromagnetic waves in a medium with a generic constitutive pseudotensor χ^{ijkl}. Particularly, we are interested in the contributions of the irreducible parts ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}$, ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$ and ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}$ to the shape of the Fresnel surface and to the corresponding light cone structure. In order to have a description that is valid for an arbitrary observer, we are looking for a covariant formulation.

A. Generalized wavefront and characteristic system

We need a *covariant* description of the electromagnetic wave in media. Usually a wave is represented by a plane wave ansatz or by its generalization in series, see [43], [44] for a modern review of this non-covariant approach. Instead, we will apply the following covariant description that is similar to what is given in [45] and[46].

Definition 1: *A generalized electromagnetic wave* is a set of the solutions, F and $\mathcal{H}$, of Maxwell's system (2.1)that are non-zero on one side of some hypresurface $\varphi(x^i) = 0$ and zero on its other side.

With this definition, the generic jump conditions (2.5) take the form of the *wavefront conditions*

$$F \wedge d\varphi = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{H} \wedge d\varphi = 0\,. \tag{3.1}$$

In tensorial representation with the wave covector defined as $q_i = \partial\varphi/\partial x^i$, they read

$$\varepsilon^{ijkl} F_{jk} q_l = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{ij} q_j = 0\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The hypersurface $\varphi(x^i) = 0$ appearing in Definition 1 is referred to as a *wavefront*.

An explicit expression of the wavefront is determined from the uniqueness and existence conditions for the solutions of (3.2). When the linear constitutive relation (2.7) is substituted here we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{ijkl} q_j F_{kl} = 0\,, \qquad \chi^{ijkl} q_j F_{kl} = 0\,. \tag{3.3}$$

This is linear system of 8 equations for 6 independent variables, the components of the tensor F_{kl}. However it is only formally overdetermined. Indeed, there are two linear identities between the equations. When the left hand sides of (3.3) are multiplied by q_i they vanish identically due to the symmetries (2.8).

How can we deal with this formally overdetermined system? Often one substitutes the constraints into (3.3) and end up with a well defined system of 6 independent equations of 6 independent variables. Note that the field F_{kl} is a *measurable quantity*, and is thus certain kind unique. Therefore an *existence and uniqueness theorem* must hold: (i) For *existence*, the 6×6 determinant of the matrix of the coefficients must be equal to zero. (ii) For *uniqueness*, this determinant must be non-trivial. Thus one comes to the 6×6 determinant and correspondingly to a characteristic equation that is of the six order in q. This straightforward procedure explicitly violates the covariance of the system. So a more precise question is: How can we deal with the overdetermined system (3.3) without violating its covariance?

In order to have a covariant derivation, we start with the first equation of (3.3). Its most general solution is of the form

$$F_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(a_k q_l - a_l q_k) \tag{3.4}$$

with an arbitrary covector a_k. In fact, a_k can be viewed as an algebraic analog of the standard electromagnetic potential. Substituting (3.4) into the second equation of (3.3) we obtain the *characteristic system*

$$M^{ik} a_k = 0\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where the *characteristic matrix* is

$$M^{ik} = \chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Eq.(3.5) is a linear system of 4 covariant equations for the 4 components of the covector a_k. Now we face a new problem: Since the relations

$$M^{ik} q_k = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad M^{ik} q_i = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

hold identically for the matrix defined in (3.6), they can be treated as linear relations between the rows and columns of the matrix M_{ik}. Consequently the system (3.5) is *undetermined.* This was to be expected since, in contrast to F_{ij}, the potential a_k is an unmeasurable quantity. Consequently the solutions of (3.5) cannot be unique. As shown in [37], the relations (3.7) correspond to the physical principles – gauge invariance and charge conservation of our system. Thus, in order to preserve the gauge invariance and the covariance of the characteristic system, we must proceed with an undetermined characteristic system and with a singular characteristic matrix M^{ik}.

B. Two optic tensors

The electromagnetic wave propagation is now described by a linear characteristic system $M^{ik} q_k = 0$. In particular, it is completely determined by the matrix M^{ik}. This matrix is an analog of the *acoustic* (Christoffel) 3×3 matrix which is used to describe the acoustic wave propagation in linear elasticity. We will refer to M^{ik} as the *optic tensor*.

Substituting the irreducible decomposition (2.11) into Eq.(3.6), we obtain

$$M^{ik} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l + {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l + {}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The last term here is a contraction of symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices, thus it vanishes identically

$${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l = \alpha \varepsilon^{ijkl} q_j q_l \equiv 0\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently the axion part ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl}$ does not contribute to the wave propagation. This fact does not contradicts the known results about axion modification of the dispersion relation [47]. In fact, the axion contribution emerges only in the higher order approximation [48], [49], [50]. In this paper we restrict ourselves to the standard geometric optics approximation that does not take the axion contributions into account.

The two other terms on the right hand side of Eq.(3.8) are in general non-zero. Consequently, the optic matrix is irreducibly decomposed into the sum of two terms

$$M^{ik} = P^{ik} + Q^{ik}\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Here, the *principle optic tensor* P^{ik} and the *skewon optic tensor* Q^{ik} are defined as

$$P^{ik} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l\,, \qquad Q^{ik} = {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} q_j q_l\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Let us list some basic properties of these two tensors:

(1) *Symmetry:* The principle optic tensor P^{ik} is symmetric while the skewon optic tensor Q^{ik} is antisymmetric:

$$P^{ik} = P^{ki}\,, \qquad Q^{ik} = -Q^{ki}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

(2) *Linear relations:* Since the partial pseudo-tensors ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}$ and ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$ preserve the symmetries of the original pseudo-tensor χ^{ijkl}, the linear relations of the type (3.7) hold also for the matrices P^{ik} and Q^{ik}, i.e.,

$$P^{ik}q_k = 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q^{ik}q_k = 0\,. \tag{3.13}$$

(3) *Determinants:* Due to the linear relations between the columns of the matrices, we have,

$$\det(P) \equiv 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \det(Q) \equiv 0\,. \tag{3.14}$$

It is in addition to the relation $\det(M) \equiv 0$.

(4) *Adjoint of the skewon optics tensor:* In order to have a non-trivial (non-zero) expression for the adjoint matrix, the rank of the original 4×4-matrix must be equal to 3. But the rank of an arbitrary antisymmetric matrix is even. Thus our skewon matrix satisfies

$$\mathrm{adj}(Q) \equiv 0\,. \tag{3.15}$$

We will see in the sequel, that this fact yields that the skewon part alone does not provide a non-trivial dispersion relation. Thus skewon can serve only as a supplement to the principle part.

C. Skewon optics covector

Since the skewon part of the constitutive tensor contributes to the wave propagation only via the antisymmetric tensor Q_{ij}, it has a simpler representation, which we now derive

We start with the relation

$$Q^{ij}q_j = 0\,. \tag{3.16}$$

It is convenient to define an auxiliary tensor

$$\check{Q}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijmk}Q^{mk}\,, \quad \text{thus} \quad Q^{pq} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijpq}\check{Q}_{ij}\,. \tag{3.17}$$

In this notations, Eq.(3.16) takes the form

$$\varepsilon^{ijpq}\check{Q}_{ij}q_p = 0\,. \tag{3.18}$$

This equation is somewhat simpler to deal with than (3.16) because it has exactly the same form as the first equation of the Maxwell system (3.3). Hence the most general solution of (3.18) can be written in the form of (3.4),

$$\check{Q}_{ij} = Y_i q_j - Y_j q_i \tag{3.19}$$

with an arbitrary covector Y_i. We will refer to Y_i as the *skewon optic covector.* Let us list its basic properties:

(1) Since $\check{Q}_{ij}$ is quadratic in q_i, the components of Y_i are the *first order homogeneous functions* of q_i;

(2) Y_i is a *covector density*, because $\check{Q}_{ij}$ is a tensor density;

(3) Due to (3.19), Y_i is defined only up to an arbitrary addition of the wave covector q_i. So we have here a type of a *gauge symmetry* which is similar to the ordinary gauge symmetry of the Maxwell system;

(4) An additional *gauge fixing condition* on Y_i can be applied.

Substituting (3.19) into Eq.(3.17) we obtain

$$Q^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijrs}(Y_r q_s - Y_s q_r)\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Using the skew-symmetry, this expression can be rewritten finally as

$$Q^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijkl}q_k Y_l\,. \tag{3.21}$$

D. Skewon in matrix representation

Due to the gauge symmetry, the covector Y_i can be expressed as

$$Y_i = S_i{}^j q_j + \theta q_i \tag{3.22}$$

with an arbitrary scalar θ that represents the gauge freedom. We observe that in this representation the tensor $S_i{}^j$ and the scalar θ must be zero order homogeneous functions of the wave covector q_k. Without loss of generality we can assume the tensor $S_i{}^j$ to be traceless, $S_i{}^i = 0$, because its trace can be absorbed into the scalar θ. Like a traceless quadratic matrix, the skewon has 15 independent components. A representation of the skewon by a traceless mixed tensor was derived in [26],

$${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} = \varepsilon^{ijmk}S_m{}^l - \varepsilon^{ijml}S_m{}^k\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Let us check that this expression meets exactly our definition (3.22). When we substitute (3.23) into Eq.(3.11), the skewon optic tensor becomes

$$Q^{ik} = {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}q_j q_l = \varepsilon^{ijmk}S_m{}^l q_j q_l\,. \tag{3.24}$$

Rearranging the indices we can rewrite this as

$$Q^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijkl}q_k\,(S_l{}^m q_m)\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Compare Eq.(3.25) with the definition of the skewon covector (3.21). In the brackets of (3.25), we recognize (up to the θ-factor) the covector Y_i as it appears in Eq.(3.22). Thus the tensor $S_i{}^j$ turns out to be independent of q. Alternatively, the scalar θ remains an arbitrary zero order homogeneous functions of q_k. Different choices of θ represent different gauge conditions. In the sequel, we will see that the Lorenz-type gauge condition for the covector Y_i requires a non-zero and even non-regular scalar function θ.

IV. DISPERSION RELATION

A. Covariant dispersion relation

In order to have a non-trivial solution a_k of the characteristic system

$$M^{ik}(q)a_k = 0\,. \tag{4.1}$$

the components of the wave covector q_i must satisfy certain consistent relation. This *dispersion relation* is a polynomial algebraic equation with the coefficients depending on the media parameters. Usually an equation of the form (4.1) is consistent (has non-trivial solutions) when the relation $\det(M) = 0$ holds. The electromagnetic system, however, is a singular one, so its determinant vanishes identically. This fact is due to *the gauge invariance of the system.* Indeed, $a_l \sim q_l$ is a solution of Eq.(4.1) that does not contribute to the electromagnetic field strength, so it is unphysical. Hence, the system (4.1) accepts a physical meaning, only if it has at least *two linearly independent solutions* – one for gauge freedom and one for physics. We recall a known fact from linear algebra: A linear system has two (or more) linearly independent solutions if and only if the rank of its matrix M^{ij} is of 2 (or less). It means that the *adjoint* of the matrix M^{ij} must be equal to zero. Recall that the adjoint matrix is constructed from the cofactors of M^{ij}.

Thus, in electromagnetism, as well as in an arbitrary $U(1)$-gauge invariant system, existence of physically meaningful solutions of a system $M^{ik}(q)a_k = 0$ requires

$$\mathrm{adj}(M) = 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The standard expression of the 4-th order adjoint matrix $A_{ij} = \mathrm{adj}(M)$ is of the form

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}M^{i_1j_1}M^{i_2j_2}M^{i_3j_3}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Consequently the dispersion relation reads

$$\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}M^{i_1j_1}M^{i_2j_2}M^{i_3j_3} = 0\,. \tag{4.4}$$

The condition (4.4) is somewhat unusual because it is given in a matrix form. However, we have here only one independent condition. Indeed, we can observe the following algebraic fact, see [37] for a proof. Let an $n\times n$ matrix M^{ij} satisfies $M^{ij}q_i = 0$ and $M^{ij}q_j = 0$ for some n-covector $q_i \neq 0$. Then its adjoint matrix $A_{ij} = \mathrm{adj}(M)$ is proportional to the tensor product of the covectors q_i,

$$A_{ij} = \lambda(q)q_iq_j\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\lambda(q)$ is a polynomial of q.

Thus (4.4) reads as

$$\lambda(q)q_iq_j = 0\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Since q_i is non-zero, the dispersion relation takes the ordinary scalar form

$$\lambda(q) = 0\,. \tag{4.7}$$

From (4.3) it follows that the scalar function $\lambda(q)$ is a 4-th order homogeneous polynomial of the wave covector q_i. It means that, for an arbitrary linear response medium, there are at most *two independent quadratic wave cones* at every points of the space. This non-trivial physical fact was previously observed in [26].

Observe that in a widely used non-covariant treatment of the characteristic system (4.1), see, for instance, [43]), one obtains instead of (4.7) the equation of the form $\omega^2\lambda(q) = 0$. In an addition to two light cones mentioned above, this equation has a degenerate solution $q_i = (0, k_1, k_2, k_3)$, called *zero frequency electromagnetic wave.* In our covariant description, as well as in [26], this unpleasant solutions are absent. Thus the problem how to interpret or how to remove the zero frequency waves is not emerges in our approach at all.

For different explicit forms of the coefficients of the quartic form $\lambda(q)$ called Tamm-Rubilar tensor, see [26], [27], [36], [37]. To our opinion, it is more convenient to work with the generic form $\mathrm{adj}(M) = 0$ for qualitative analysis and even for explicit calculations. We will demonstrate it in the sequel.

B. Skewon part of the dispersion relation

We discuss now how the skewon part contributes to the dispersion relation. Substituting the decomposition of the optic tensor $M^{ij} = P^{ij} + Q^{ij}$ into Eq.(4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} \;=\; & \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}\big(P^{i_1j_1}P^{i_2j_2}P^{i_3j_3} + \\ & 3P^{i_1j_1}Q^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3} + 3P^{i_1j_1}P^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3} + \\ & Q^{i_1j_1}Q^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3}\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The left hand side of this equation is a symmetric matrix, thus the antisymmetric matrices in its right hand side must vanish. Indeed, we can check straightforward that the identities

$$\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}Q^{i_1j_1}Q^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3} \equiv 0\,, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}P^{i_1j_1}P^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3} \equiv 0 \tag{4.10}$$

hold for an arbitrary symmetric matrix P and antisymmetric matrix Q. Note that Eq.(4.9) represents the mentioned fact that the adjoint of an antisymmetric matrix Q vanishes identically. Thus we remain in (4.8) with the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P,Q)q_iq_j \;=\; & \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}\big(P^{i_1j_1}P^{i_2j_2}P^{i_3j_3} + \\ & 3P^{i_1j_1}Q^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3}\big) = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

The first term in the right hand side is the adjoint of the symmetric matrix P^{ij}. Consequently, the dispersion

relation takes the form

$$\lambda(P)q_iq_k+\frac{1}{2!}\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}P^{i_1j_1}Q^{i_2j_2}Q^{i_3j_3}=0\,, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\lambda(P)$ is the quartic form evaluated on the principle part only. The second term of (4.12) is calculated in Appendix. The result is surprisingly simple:

Proposition 1: *For a most generic linear constitutive pseudo-tensor χ^{ijkl}, the dispersion relation reads*

$$\boxed{\lambda(P)+P^{ij}Y_iY_j=0\,.} \quad (4.13)$$

Observe some immediate consequences of this formula:

(1) The skewon modification of the light cone is provided by a quadratic form $P^{ij}Y_iY_j$.

(2) Due to the identity $P^{ij}q_i=0$, Eq.(4.13) is invariant under a gauge transformation of the skewon covector $Y_i\to Y_i+\theta q_i$.

(3) If there is a solution q_i of Eq.(4.13) for which $Y_i(q)\sim q_i$, then $\lambda(P)=0$, i.e., the wave vector q_i lies on the non-modified light cone.

(4) If $Y_i(q)\sim q_i$ for all solutions of Eq.(4.13), then the corresponding skewon does not modify the light cone structure.

(5) If $\lambda(P)=0$ for all solutions of Eq.(4.13), then simultaneously $P^{ij}Y_i(q)Y_j(q)=0$ holds. In this case we remain with a subset of the original (non-skewon) light cone.

(6) For a non-trivial solution of the dispersion relation, two scalar terms $\lambda(P)$ and $P^{ij}Y_i(q)Y_j(q)$ must be of opposite signs.

In order to analyze the contributions of the skewon part to wave propagation, we restrict in the next section two media with a simplest principal part.

V. SKEWON CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE (PSEUDO) RIEMANNIAN VACUUM

Let the principal part be constructed from a generic metric tensor of Euclidean or Minkowski signature. The skewon part, however, let be of the most general form. How, in this case, the skewon modifies the standard light cone structure? An extensive analysis of this problem was given by Obukhov and Hehl in [30]. Recently Ni [53] provided an analysis of skewon effects on cosmic wave propagation in the approximation of small skewon. In [54], [55], some new generic facts about skewon contribution to Minkowski vacuum are presented. We will give a detailed derivation analysis of these results.

A. Skewon field on a metric space

Consider a manifold endowed with a metric tensor g^{ij}. For generality, we will not restrict to the Lorentz signature, even the Euclidean case does not have a direct physical application. Let the principal part of the constitutive pseudo-tensor be presented in the metric-type form [26]

$${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}=\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{ik}g^{jl}-g^{il}g^{jk}\right)\,. \quad (5.1)$$

For simplicity, we assume the units for which the dimension factor in (5.1) is neglected, i.e., the constitutive tensor χ^{ijkl} is dimensionless. Recall that in SI-system the left hand side of (5.1) comes with an additional factor $\lambda_0=\sqrt{\varepsilon_0/\mu_0}$, see [26] for the details.

From (5.1), the principal optic tensor reads

$$P^{ik}=\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{ik}q^2-q^iq^k\right)\,. \quad (5.2)$$

Here the indices are raised by the metric g^{ik}, i.e.,

$$q^i=g^{ij}q_j\,, \qquad q^2=g^{ij}q_iq_j\,. \quad (5.3)$$

First we calculate the adjoint (4.7) of the symmetric matrix (5.2). It takes the form

$$\lambda(P)q_iq_j=\frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{ii_1i_2i_3}\varepsilon_{jj_1j_2j_3}q^4g^{i_2j_2}g^{i_3j_3}\big(q^2g^{i_1j_1}-3q^{i_1}q^{j_1}\big)\,. \quad (5.4)$$

Using the standard identities for contraction of the ε-symbols with the metric tensor, we obtain in (5.4)

$$\lambda(P)q_iq_j=\mathrm{sgn}(g)\sqrt{|g|}q^4q_iq_j\,. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus the principle part contribution to the dispersion function reads

$$\lambda(P)=\mathrm{sgn}(g)\sqrt{|g|}q^4\,. \quad (5.6)$$

The contribution of the skewon part follows straightforward from (5.1)

$$P^{ij}Y_iY_j=\sqrt{|g|}\left(Y^2q^2-(Y,q)^2\right)\,. \quad (5.7)$$

where the scalar product is defined by the use of the metric, $(q,Y)=g^{ij}Y_iq_j$. Substituting into (4.13) we remain with

$$\lambda=\sqrt{|g|}\left(\mathrm{sgn}(g)q^4+Y^2q^2-(Y,q)^2\right)\,. \quad (5.8)$$

Thus we proved the following

Proposition 2: *The dispersion relation for the most generic skewon media in the (pseudo) Riemannian vacuum is represented as*

$$\boxed{\mathrm{sgn}(g)q^4+Y^2q^2-(Y,q)^2=0\,.} \quad (5.9)$$

B. Lorenz-type gauge

The dispersion relation (5.9) may be given even in a more simpler form. Since the covector Y_i is defined only up to an arbitrary addition of the covector q_i, we can apply an arbitrary scalar gauge condition. On a space endowed with a metric it can be used in a form similar to the Lorenz gauge condition

$$(Y, q) = g^{ij} Y_i q_j = 0\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Note that this condition is applicable for an arbitrary signature of the metric tensor, even it is usually used for the Lorentz metric. With this expression at hand, the dispersion relation may be used in the form of the following system

$$\mathrm{sgn}(g) q^4 + q^2 Y^2 = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (q, Y) = 0\,. \tag{5.11}$$

Let us analyze how the gauge condition (5.10) can be realized on a metric space. If a solution q_i of Eq.(5.9) satisfies the relation $q^2 = 0$, then relation (5.10) is a direct consequence of Eq.(5.9) for an arbitrary covector Y. Let now q_i be a non-light solution of Eq.(5.9), i.e., $q^2 \neq 0$. As in (3.22), we consider a generic expression of the covector Y in terms of the tensor S

$$Y_i = S_i{}^j q_j + \theta q_i\,. \tag{5.12}$$

Recall that $S_i{}^j$ is independent of q, while the scalar θ can be an arbitrary function of q_i. Its values correspond to different choices of the gauge condition. Multiplying both sides of (5.12) by q and requiring (5.10) we obtain

$$(Y, q) = S^{ij} q_i q_j + \theta q^2 = 0\,. \tag{5.13}$$

Consequently, for $q^2 \neq 0$,

$$\theta = -\frac{S^{mn} q_m q_n}{q^2}\,. \tag{5.14}$$

Thus for $q^2 \neq 0$, the optic covector is expressed in the Lorenz-type gauge as

$$Y_i = S_i{}^j q_j - \frac{S^{mn} q_m q_n}{q^2} q_i\,. \tag{5.15}$$

Consequently, the Lorenz-type condition $(Y, q) = 0$ may be applied for an arbitrary tensor $S_i{}^j$ and for an arbitrary wave covector q_i.

C. Euclidean signature

For a positive signature of the metric, the dispersion relation in (5.11) takes the form

$$q^4 + Y^2 q^2 = 0\,. \tag{5.16}$$

Both terms in (5.16) are nonnegative. Consequently any solution of (5.16) must satisfy $q^2 = 0$. For the Euclidean metric, this yields the unique trivial solution, $q_i = 0$. The gauge condition $(q, Y) = 0$ also holds. Thus we come to the following conclusion:

Proposition 3: *For a Euclidean signature metric space endowed with an arbitrary skewon, the dispersion relation has the unique trivial solution, $q_i = 0$.*

Thus an arbitrary skewon part cannot modify the elliptic character of the Euclidean metric. Wave propagation was forbidden in an Euclidean signature model and it remains forbidden even when an arbitrary skewon field is "switched on".

D. Lorentz signature

Also for a negative signature of the metric, it is more convenient to use the dispersion relation in the Lorenz gauge. Thus we are dealing with the system

$$q^4 = Y^2 q^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (Y, q) = 0\,. \tag{5.17}$$

In order to analyze its solutions, we first observe that the left hand side of the first equation is nonnegative, $q^4 \geq 0$. If $q^2 \neq 0$, then it follows that $Y^2 q^2 > 0$. Thus the factors q^2 and Y^2 have the same sign. This means that the covectors Y_i and q_i must be both timelike or both spacelike. But two timelike covectors cannot be orthonormal, as required by the second equation of (5.17). Consequently, if $q_i \neq 0$, the covectors Y_i and q_i must be both spacelike. So we proved the following

Proposition 4: *In the Lorentz signature metric space, the solution of the skewon modified dispersion relation can be spacelike or null,*

$$q^2 \leq 0\,. \tag{5.18}$$

In other words, an arbitrary skewon widens the light cone. Thus the skewon contribution to a generic pseudo-Riemannian vacuum media increases the light velocity.

VI. ANTISYMMETRIC SKEWON

Up to now our qualitative consideration did not assume any specific form of skewon. We will now consider some explicit examples of electromagnetic wave propagation in skewon media. For certain special cases, a detailed analysis was provided in [30]. We will study how these results are embedded in our formalism and how they can be extended. We will also discuss the results of the approximated analysis provided recently by Ni, [53].

A simplest way to construct a skewon is to start with an arbitrary traceless tensor $S_i{}^j$ which is completely equivalent to ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl}$. On a space endowed with a metric tensor g_{ij}, the mixed tensor $S_i{}^j$ can be replaced by the covariant and contravariant tensors

$$S^{ij} = g_{ik} S_k{}^j\,, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{ij} = g_{jk} S_i{}^k\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that these two tensors depend not only of the skewon itself but also of the metric. One advantage of the tensors (6.1) is that they can be invariantly decomposed into symmetric and skew-symmetric parts. Since these two parts do not mix under coordinate transformations, they can be studied separately.

In this section we consider the case of a pure antisymmetric skewon tensor

$$S_{ij} = -S_{ji}\,. \tag{6.2}$$

A. Dispersion relation

We observe first that the antisymmetric skewon is, in fact, a simplest type of a skewon. Indeed, the traceless condition for such tensor holds identically,

$$S_{ij}g^{ij} = 0\,. \tag{6.3}$$

Moreover,

$$S_{ij}q^iq^j = 0\,. \tag{6.4}$$

Then when the antisymmetric tensor is substituted into Eq.(5.15), the non-regular term is canceled. Consequently we can realize the Lorentz gauge condition $(Y,q) = 0$ with a specially simple skewon covector Y that is linear in the wave covector q

$$Y_i = S_{ij}q^j\,. \tag{6.5}$$

We observe that the Lorentz gauge condition is satisfied automatically. In the dispersion relation

$$q^4 = q^2Y^2 \tag{6.6}$$

$Y(q)$ is a polynomial now. Hence this equation is decomposed into two independent equations

$$q^2 = 0\,, \quad \text{or} \quad q^2 = Y^2\,. \tag{6.7}$$

The later equation is given in an implicit form (recall that Y is linear in q). Since Y_i is a first order homogeneous (even linear) function of q_i, this equation represents an algebraic cone.

The fact of splitting of the dispersion relation for the antisymmetric skewon was derived in [30]. There, the decomposition of the dispersion relation into two independent equations was interpreted as the *birefringence effect* known from crystal optics. This statement requires however a slightly more precise analysis. In particular, it is not clear if the equation $q^2 = Y^2$ has real solutions and if these solutions represent an *observable light cone.* We will discuss these questions in the following section.

B. Light-cone condition

In order to represent a light cone, Eq.(6.7) must have *non-zero real solutions.* We will prove now that this is indeed the case for an almost arbitrary antisymmetric skewon.

Substituting (6.5) the equation $q^2 - Y^2 = 0$ we derive

$$(g_{ij} - S_{im}S_{jn}g^{mn})\,q^iq^j = 0\,. \tag{6.8}$$

It can be expressed in terms of an effective metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$

$$\hat{g}_{ij}q^iq^j = 0\,, \tag{6.9}$$

where

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} - S_{im}S_{jn}g^{mn}\,. \tag{6.10}$$

On a four dimensional manifold, the metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ can be:

(i) Euclidean with the signature $(+,+,+,+)$,

(ii) Lorentzian with the signature $(+,-,-,-)$,

(iii) of mixed type with the signature $(+,+,-,-)$,

(iv) degenerate with $\det g = 0$.

Only the Lorentz case provides a light cone. Observe that on the 4-dimensional manifold, the metric has Lorentz signature if and only if its determinant is negative. Consequently we have a *necessary condition for birefringence*

$$\det\,(g_{ij} - S_{im}S_{jn}g^{mn}) < 0\,. \tag{6.11}$$

This relation can be modified being multiplied by $\det g^{ik}$. Since g is a Lorentz signature metric, we obtain an equivalent condition

$$\det\left(\delta^i_j + S^i{}_mS^m{}_j\right) > 0\,. \tag{6.12}$$

Note that in this form, the condition is independent of the metric tensor and applicable in an even more generic case.

Since the inequality (6.11) is pure algebraic, i.e., pointwise, we can apply coordinate transformations to replace the metric g_{ij} by the flat Minkowski metric $g_{ij} = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$. We use the standard "electromagnetic parametrization' of the antisymmetric matrix

$$S_{01} = \alpha_1\,, \qquad S_{02} = \alpha_2\,, \qquad S_{03} = \alpha_3\,, \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$S_{23} = \beta_1\,, \qquad S_{13} = -\beta_2\,, \qquad S_{12} = \beta_3\,. \tag{6.14}$$

With this parametrization, the effective metric takes the form

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2+\alpha_3^2 & \alpha_2\beta_3-\alpha_3\beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1 \\ \alpha_2\beta_3-\alpha_3\beta_2 & -1+\beta_2^2+\beta_3^2-\alpha_1^2 & -\alpha_1\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_2 & -\alpha_1\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3\beta_1-\alpha_1\beta_3 & -\alpha_1\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_2 & -1+\beta_1^2+\beta_3^2-\alpha_2^2 & -\alpha_2\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_3 \\ \alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1 & -\alpha_1\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_3 & -\alpha_2\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_3 & -1+\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2-\alpha_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.15}$$

We calculate the determinant of this matrix with the the computer algebra package Reduce-Excalc, see [52]. The result is surprisingly simple

$$\det(\hat{g}_{ij}) = -\left[(\alpha\cdot\beta)^2+(\beta^2-\alpha^2-1)\right]^2 . \tag{6.16}$$

Here the standard scalar product notations are used, $(\alpha\cdot\beta)=\alpha_1\beta_1+\alpha_2\beta_2+\alpha_3\beta_3$, and $\alpha^2=\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2+\alpha_3^2$, and similarly for β^2. The following statement results from Eq.(6.16).

Proposition 5: *For an arbitrary antisymmetric skewon, the determinant of the effective metric is non-positive. Thus, the equation $q^2=Y^2$ has non-zero real solutions. In the case $(\alpha\cdot\beta)^2\neq\alpha^2-\beta^2+1$, the effective metric has Lorentz signature.*

As a side result we derived a degenerate skewon with the parameters $(\alpha\cdot\beta)^2=\alpha^2-\beta^2+1$. We will study it in the sequence.

C. The form of the modified light cone

The solution $q^2=0$ represents a perfect light cone. Using the standard notation $q_i=(\omega,\mathrm{k}_1,\mathrm{k}_2,\mathrm{k}_3)$ we observe its basic properties:

(1) The unique vertex is localized at the origin $q_i=0$;

(2) The symmetry axis is directed along the ω-axis;

(3) The timelike sections $\omega=$ const is a sphere with full $SO(3)$ symmetry.

What can be said in this context about the second cone $q^2=Y^2$?

The origin point $q_i=0$ is evidently a solution of this equation, because Y_i is a 1-st order homogeneous polynomial in q_i. This fact also yields the algebraic cone structure: For every solution q_i, the scaled covector Cq_i is also a solution. The only point that remains fixed under this rescaling transformation is the origin $q_i=0$. Thus the hypersurface is an algebraic cone with a unique vertex localized at the origin.

In order to understand the position of the cone axis we need the space-time decomposed form of the equation $q^2=Y^2$. Using the effective metric (6.15) we derive

$$(1+\alpha^2)\omega^2+2\omega\,(\mathrm{k}\cdot[\alpha\times\beta])-\mathrm{k}^2-(\alpha\cdot\mathrm{k})^2+(\beta\times\mathrm{k})^2=0\,, \tag{6.17}$$

where the vector (cross) and scalar (dot) products of 3-vectors are used. We restrict our analysis of this algebraic equation to two special cases.

For $\beta=0$, we are left in (6.17) with

$$(1+\alpha^2)\omega^2=\mathrm{k}^2+(\alpha\cdot\mathrm{k})^2\,. \tag{6.18}$$

We observe that the right hand side of this equation is non-negative. Consequently, for constant values of ω, (6.18) represents a compact second order polynomial hypersurface. Thus it is an ellipsoid. Moreover, if (ω,k) is a solution than $(\omega,-k)$ is also a solution. Thus the line $k=0$ is a symmetry axis of the cone.

For $\alpha=0$, Eq.(6.17) takes the form

$$\omega^2-\mathrm{k}^2+(\beta\times\mathrm{k})^2=0\,. \tag{6.19}$$

There are two possibilities:

If $||b||\leq 1$, we rewrite Eq.(6.19) as

$$\omega^2=(1-\beta^2)\mathrm{k}^2+(\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})^2\,. \tag{6.20}$$

The right hand side here is non-negative. In this case, all sections of the surface with the constant ω are ellipsoids. Here the symmetry axis of the cone is the line $k=0$ as usual.

If $||b||>1$, we rewrite Eq. (6.19) as

$$\omega^2+(\beta^2-1)\mathrm{k}^2=(\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})^2\,. \tag{6.21}$$

Now the left hand side is non-negative. Thus the sections with the constant values of $(\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})$ are ellipsoids. Moreover, the symmetry axis of the 3 dimensional cone is lying in hyperplane $(\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})$, i.e., it is normal to the ω-axis.

In other words, we identify a new feature of this model: The time and spatial axes are interchanged when the parameter β^2 crosses the value 1. It has some similarity to the Schwarzschild solution in ordinal coordinates when the time and radial axes interchange at the critical radius.

D. Degenerate skewon

Here we consider some special sets of skewon parameters which disregarded and which lead to degenerate cases. The effective metric is singular when

$$\det\left(\delta^i_j+S^{im}S_{mj}\right)=0\,. \tag{6.22}$$

In parametric form, this equation becomes

$$(\alpha\beta)^2+\beta^2-\alpha^2-1=0\,. \tag{6.23}$$

Due to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $(\alpha\beta)^2\leq\alpha^2\beta^2$, this equation has real solution only if

$$\beta^2\geq 1\,. \tag{6.24}$$

We consider, for instance, a special solution of Eq.(6.23) of the form

$$\alpha = 0\,, \qquad \beta^2 = 1\,. \tag{6.25}$$

In this case, the effective metric (6.15) reads

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta_1^2 & -\beta_1\beta_2 & -\beta_1\beta_3 \\ 0 & -\beta_1\beta_2 & -\beta_2^2 & -\beta_2\beta_3 \\ 0 & -\beta_1\beta_3 & -\beta_2\beta_3 & -\beta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.26}$$

Consequently, the dispersion relation is given by

$$\omega^2 - (\beta_1 \mathrm{k}_1 + \beta_2 \mathrm{k}_2 + \beta_3 \mathrm{k}_3)^2 = 0\,, \tag{6.27}$$

or, equivalently, by the two linear equations

$$w = \pm(\beta_1 \mathrm{k}_1 + \beta_2 \mathrm{k}_2 + \beta_3 \mathrm{k}_3)\,. \tag{6.28}$$

This linear dispersion relation must not be confused with the ordinary linear dispersion in vacuum, which is of the form $w = k = \sqrt{\mathrm{k}_1^2 + \mathrm{k}_2^2 + \mathrm{k}_3^2}$. In Eq.(6.28), we are left with two hyperplanes instead of a hypercone. The effective velocity of the wave is not bounded on such a "wavefront." It means that this special case provides a very hard violation of the special relativity principles.

E. Optic axes generated by an antisymmetric skewon

When the degenerate case is excluded, we are left with two perfect algebraic cones with the same vertex at the origin. The mutual arrangement of these cones can however be of various topological types. Let us first study whether these algebraic cones are intersect and what is the set of their intersection.

We are looking for a non-zero solution of the system

$$q^2 = 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y^2 = q^2\,. \tag{6.29}$$

Due to homogeneity, every solution of Eq.(6.29) describes a 2-dimensional plan in 4-dimensional space-time. The spatial projection of this plan is a direction in which a wave propagates without birefringence, i.e., the *optic axis*. The system (6.23) is equivalent to

$$q^2 = 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y^2 = 0\,. \tag{6.30}$$

With these simple equations at hand, we can prove the following:

Proposition 6: *In a pseudo-Riemannian manifold endowed with an arbitrary antisymmetric skewon, the wavefront has two separate optic axes.*

Proof: In order to have a non-trivial solution of system (6.29), both covectors Y_i and q_i must be light-like relative to the basic metric g_{ij}. We recall that these two covectors also must satisfy the gauge condition

$$(qY) = 0\,, \tag{6.31}$$

i.e., they must be pseudo-orthonormal. It is well known, see e.g. [51], that two light-like vectors are pseudo-orthonormal if and only if they are proportional. Thus the optic axis covector q must satisfy the system

$$q^2 = 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y_i(q) = mq_i \tag{6.32}$$

with some real parameter m. Let us derive the values of the parameter m. In terms of the skewon tensor S_{ij}, the equation $Y = mq$ takes the form of an eigenvector problem

$$(S_{ij} - mg_{ij})q^j = 0\,. \tag{6.33}$$

This system has a non-trivial solution if and only if

$$\det(S_{ij} - mg_{ij}) = 0\,. \tag{6.34}$$

Every real eigenvalue m produces a real eigenvector q_i of Eq.(6.33). Moreover, distinct real eigenvalues correspond to linear independent real eigenvectors. We observe also that, due to the gauge relation $(q, Y) = 0$, every covector corresponded to a non-zero eigenvalue m is necessary light-like. Indeed, the equation $Y = mq$ results into $mq^2 = 0$. Note that, in general, this is not correct for $m = 0$. Thus we must give a special treatment of the case of a zero eigenvalue.

We shall now derive the solutions of Eq.(6.34). Using the "electromagnetic parametrization" (6.13) we have

$$S_{ij} - mg_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} m & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ -\alpha_1 & -m & \beta_3 & -\beta_2 \\ -\alpha_2 & -\beta_3 & -m & \beta_1 \\ -\alpha_3 & \beta_2 & -\beta_1 & -m \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.35}$$

Calculating the determinant of this matrix we obtain the characteristic equation

$$\det\left(S_{ij} - mg_{ij}\right) = m^4 + m^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) - (\alpha\beta)^4 = 0\,. \tag{6.36}$$

The discriminant of this biquadratic equation

$$(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 + 4(\alpha\beta)^4 \geq 0 \tag{6.37}$$

is non-negative for arbitrary non-zero values of the parameters α, β. Also we observe that the free term in Eq.(6.36) is non-positive, $-(\alpha\beta)^4 \leq 0$. It means:

1) In the case $(\alpha\beta) \neq 0$, Eq.(6.36) has two real and two purely imaginary conjugated solutions. The real solutions are non-zero and expressed as

$$m = \pm\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 + 4(\alpha\beta)^4} + \alpha^2 - \beta^2}{2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{6.38}$$

With these two real distinct values of the parameter $m \neq 0$, we have two optic axes.

2) In the case

$$(\alpha\beta) = 0\,, \qquad \alpha^2 > \beta^2\,, \tag{6.39}$$

Eq.(6.36) has four real solutions – two non-zero solutions

$$m = \pm\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}\,, \tag{6.40}$$

and a zero solution $m = 0$ of multiplicity two. As we already observed, every non-zero real eigenvalue m corresponds to an optic axis. The zero eigenvalue $m = 0$ does not correspond to any optic axis. It can be checked by elementary explicit calculations that the conjunction of (6.39) and (6.31) only has the trivial solution.

So we are left with two optic axes corresponding to the eigenvalues given in Eq.(6.40).

3) We consider now the opposite case:

$$(\alpha\beta) = 0\,, \qquad \alpha^2 < \beta^2\,. \tag{6.41}$$

The two eigenvalues (6.40) are purely imaginary now, and we are left with $m = 0$ of multiplicity two. Comparing with the previous case, we get now two real light-like eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $m = 0$. Namely, it is enough to chose $q = (\beta, 0, \sqrt{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}, \pm\alpha)$. Once more we have two optic axes.

4) In the last case,

$$(\alpha\beta) = 0\,, \qquad \alpha^2 = \beta^2\,, \tag{6.42}$$

there is only one eigenvalue $m = 0$ of multiplicity four. It corresponds to two eigenvectors $q = (\beta, 0, 0, \pm\alpha)$, and consequently there are again two optic axes.

Thus our proposition is proved. ■

F. Illustrative examples of antisymmetric skewon

For almost arbitrary parameters of an antisymmetric skewon we derived the existence of two wavefront surfaces, which are tangential one to another along two optic axes. The mutual dispositions of these surfaces may be of topologically different type. In order to identify these topological types, we proceed with some explicit examples.

1. *Electric type skewon*

Consider a skewon of pure "electric type"

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta = (0, 0, 0)\,. \tag{6.43}$$

Here the effective metric (6.15) related to the extraordinary light cone takes the form

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1-\alpha_1^2 & -\alpha_1\alpha_2 & -\alpha_1\alpha_3 \\ 0 & -\alpha_1\alpha_2 & -1-\alpha_2^2 & -\alpha_2\alpha_3 \\ 0 & -\alpha_1\alpha_3 & -\alpha_2\alpha_3 & -1-\alpha_3^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.44}$$

The determinant of this metric,

$$\det(\hat{g}_{ij}) = -\left(\alpha^2 + 1\right)^2\,, \tag{6.45}$$

is strictly negative for all values of the parameters α.

The dispersion relation for the extraordinary wave, $\hat{g}_{ij}q^iq^j = 0$, is

$$(1+\alpha^2)\,\omega^2 = \mathrm{k}^2 + (\alpha\cdot\mathrm{k})^2\,. \tag{6.46}$$

Since all terms in Eq.(6.46) are positive, all sections of this 3-dimensional cone with constant values of ω are 2-dimensional ellipsoids.

The optic covector reads

$$Y_i = -\big((\alpha\cdot\mathrm{k}), \alpha_1\omega, \alpha_2\omega, \alpha_3\omega\big)\,. \tag{6.47}$$

The two optic axes are straightforward calculated now from (6.32) with $m = \pm||\alpha|| = \pm\sqrt{\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2+\alpha_3^2}$. We have

$$q_i = (\pm||\alpha||, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)\,. \tag{6.48}$$

In Figs.1 and 2, we present different sections of two 3-dimensional light cones.

Consequently, the antisymmetric skewon of pure "electric type" provides a model of birefringent medium.



FIG. 1: Sections of the light cones generated by electric-type skewon. In all cases, $w = 1$ and $k_3 = 0$. Light cones correspond to $\alpha_i = (1,0,0)$, $\alpha_i = (0,1,0)$, $\alpha_i = (1,1,0)$, and $\alpha_i = (1,-1,0)$, respectively.

2. *Magnetic-type skewon*

We consider now a skewon of a pure "magnetic type" parametrized by

$$\alpha = (0, 0, 0)\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)\,. \tag{6.49}$$



FIG. 2: Sections of the light cones generated by an electric-type skewon. Space images of the ordinary and extraordinary light cones and wavefront ellipsoids are generated by the skewon with $\alpha = (1, 0, 0)$. Two axes are represented by the two lines of intersection on the first graph and by the two points of intersection on the second one.

In this case, the effective metrics (6.15) reads

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1+\beta_2^2+\beta_3^2 & -\beta_1\beta_2 & -\beta_1\beta_3 \\ 0 & -\beta_1\beta_2 & -1+\beta_1^2+\beta_3^2 & -\beta_2\beta_3 \\ 0 & -\beta_1\beta_3 & -\beta_2\beta_3 & -1+\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.50}$$

The determinant of this metric is

$$\det(\hat{g}_{ij}) = -\left(\beta^2 - 1\right)^2 , \tag{6.51}$$

where $\beta^2 = \beta_1^2+\beta_2^2+\beta_3^2$. The determinant is non-positive for all values of the parameter β and vanishes only for $|\beta| = 1$. Consequently, for $|\beta| \neq 1$, Eq.(6.51) represents a hypercone. For $\beta^2 = 1$ the hypercone degenerates into two hyperplanes.

Here the dispersion relation of the extraordinary wave is

$$\omega^2 = (1-\beta^2)\mathrm{k}^2 + (\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})^2 . \tag{6.52}$$

From this equation, we conclude:

(1) For $|\beta| < 1$, the right hand side of this equation is positive, thus a section with constant value of w is a 2-dimensional ellipsoid. This is similar to the ordinary case of birefringence. The extraordinary wave has a velocity greater a speed of light and depends on the spatial direction.

(2) For $|\beta| = 1$, Eq.(6.52) takes the form $w = \pm(\beta\cdot\mathrm{k})$. This is a pair of hyperplans. This is the degenerated case with a non-limiting velocity of the extraordinary wave.

(3) For $|\beta| > 1$, Eq.(6.52) returns to be a hypercone. Its central axis, however, lies in a spatial direction, instead of the time direction.

In all cases, there are two optic axes given by the equations

$$q = (\pm||\beta||, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) , \tag{6.53}$$

where $||\beta|| = \sqrt{\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2+\beta_3^2}$.



FIG. 3: Sections of the light cones generated by electric-type skewon. In all cases, $w = 1$ and $k_3 = 0$. Light cones correspond to $\alpha_i = (1, 0, 0)$, $\alpha_i = (0, 1, 0)$, $\alpha_i = (1, 1, 0)$, and $\alpha_i = (1, -1, 0)$, respectively. The optic axes are represented by the lines of intersection of the cones.

Consequently, only for small values of the magnetic type skewon parameters $\beta < 1$ the ordinary type of birefringence emerges. Higher values of β yields a rather pathological violation of Lorentz symmetry.

VII. SYMMETRIC SKEWON

When skewon is considered on a space endowed with a metric tensor, a special symmetric traceless skewon can be defined. In terms of the tensor S_{ij}, it satisfies the



FIG. 4: Sections of the light cones generated by the magnetic-type skewon. Space images with $k_3 = 0$ are generated by the skewons $\beta_i = (1/2, 0, 0)$, $\beta_i = (1, 0, 0)$, $\beta_i = (2, 0, 0)$, respectively. Two optic axes are represented by the two lines of intersection.

relations $S_{ij} = S_{ji}$ and $S^i{}_i = 0$. In a 4-dimensional space, such a tensor has 9 independent components.

A. Parametric gap

Consider the dispersion relation for a generic skewon in the form

$$q^4 - q^2 Y^2 + (q, Y)^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.1}$$

When the gauge condition $\theta = 0$ is applied in (5.12), every term in Eq.(7.1) is polynomial in the wave covector q. Consequently we can consider a solution of Eq.(7.1) in the form

$$q^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(Y^2 \pm \sqrt{Y^4 - (q, Y)^2}\right)\,. \tag{7.2}$$

The Lorentz square q^2 must be real, consequently the expression under the square root must be non-negative. Recall that in the case of an antisymmetric matrix S_{ij}, the term (q, Y) was equal zero also in for the gauge $\theta = 0$. Thus the term under the square root was non-negative, $Y^4 \geq 0$, and there were two real solutions for the variable q^2. In the case of a symmetric skewon, the situation changes crucially. Now both terms under the square root are non-zero and the inequality

$$Y^4 - (q, Y)^2 \geq 0 \tag{7.3}$$

must hold. Recall that the covector Y is linear in the skewon matrix S_{ij}. If we rescale this matrix, $S_{ij} \to CS_{ij}$, Eq.(7.3) takes the form

$$C^2 Y^4 - (q, Y)^2 \geq 0\,. \tag{7.4}$$

We observe that for small values of the parameter C, the first term approaches zero and the inequality is broken. In fact, the same is true even for a generic skewon since the term (q, Y) is proportional only to the symmetric part of the skewon. Consequently, we proved, [55]

Proposition 7: *Let the skewon have a non-zero symmetric part. For sufficient small nonzero magnitudes of the skewon parameters the dispersion relation has no solutions.*

B. Vector parametrization

It is convenient to represent the tensor S_{ij} in term of vector fields [30]. We call such a representation a *vector parametrization*. Let us consider a vector field v_i. We can write a symmetric traceless tensor in the form

$$S_{ij} = v_i v_j - \frac{1}{4} v^2 g_{ij}\,. \tag{7.5}$$

Here the square of the vector v is defined by the metric tensor, $v^2 = g^{ij} v_i v_j$. Certainly one cannot expect that a generic 9-component tensor can be represented by 4 components of the vector v_i. Thus (7.5) can be used only as an example of a special symmetric skewon.

In [30], a symmetric skewon parametrized by two vector fields was considered.

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\left(u_i v_j + u_j v_i\right) - \frac{1}{4}(u, v) g_{ij}\,. \tag{7.6}$$

This tensor was treated as a skewon with 8 independent components. In fact, the rescaling of the vector fields $u \to Cu, v \to C^{-1} v$ does not change the left hand side of Eq.(7.6), thus the number of independent components is at least equal to 7. The form of the ansatz (7.6) can be modified by a reparametrization $u = k + \ell$, and $v = k - \ell$. This way we arrive an equivalent ansatz

$$S_{ij} = \left(k_i k_j - \frac{1}{4} k^2 g_{ij}\right) - \left(\ell_i \ell_j - \frac{1}{4} \ell^2 g_{ij}\right)\,, \tag{7.7}$$

that is more prone to generalization.

The ansatz (7.6) includes less independent components than needed for a generic symmetric skewon. Let us try

to consider an ansatz constructed from three null vector fields k, l, and m and three real parameters A, B, C.

$$S_{ij} = Ak_ik_j + B\ell_i\ell_j + Cm_im_j\,, \tag{7.8}$$

such that

$$k^2 = \ell^2 = m^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.9}$$

We observe that the tensor S_{ij} in Eq.(7.8) is traceless. Moreover, every null vector can be considered as having 3 independent components. Consequently, we can expect to have all together 9 independent components as it must be for a generic traceless symmetric matrix S_{ij}. Unfortunately, this skewon is not a most generic one. Indded, we immediately observe the determinant of the tensor (7.8) is equal to zero. In fact, for linearly independent vectors k, ℓ, and m, the rank of the matrix in Eq.(7.8) is equal to three. It means that the ansatz (7.8) is not most generic because it cannot describe a matrix S_{ij} of the rank four.

Consequently we come to our final vector ansatz

$$S_{ij} = Ak_ik_j + B\ell_i\ell_j + Cm_im_j + Dn_in_j\,, \tag{7.10}$$

with 4 real parameters A, B, C, D and 4 linearly independent null vectors k, ℓ, m, n such that

$$k^2 = \ell^2 = m^2 = n^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.11}$$

Due to these relations, the matrix S_{ij} in Eq.(7.10) is traceless. For linearly independent vectors k, ℓ, m, n, the determinant of the tensor in Eq.(7.10) is non-zero

$$\det S_{ij} = ABCD\,(\mathrm{vol})^2\,, \tag{7.12}$$

where vol refers to the volume of the 4-dimensional parallelepiped determined by the vectors k, ℓ, m, and n. Although the positive values of the coefficients A, B, C, D can be absorbed into the vectors, it is convenient to preserve them in order to control the signs and the magnitude of the different contributions to the skewon field.

We assume that the vectors k, ℓ, m, and n are linearly independent. In the case of the non-zero coefficients A, B, C, D, the rank of the matrix S_{ij} is equal to 4. When one of the coefficients is zero the rank is equal to 3, as in ansatz (7.8). In the case, when two coefficients, say C and D, equal to zero, we are left with a matrix of rank 2. This is the case given in Eqs. (7.6) and (7.7). When three coefficients, say $B, C, D,$, are zero, the rank of the matrix S_{ij} is equal to 1.

Consequently, with our ansatz (7.10) we are able to describe a symmetric skewon of arbitrary rank.

C. Dispersion relation

In order to derive the dispersion relation for the skewon (7.10), we start with the skewon covector. For the case of generic gauge, it is given by

$$Y_i = Ak_i(k,q) + B\ell_i(\ell,q) + Cm_i(m,q) + Dn_i(n,q) + \alpha q_i \tag{7.13}$$

We choose the Lorenz-type gauge, $(Y, q) = 0$ and derive the value of the parameter α

$$Y_i = A\frac{(k,q)}{q^2}\left(q^2k_i - (k,q)q_i\right) + B\frac{(\ell,q)}{q^2}\left(q^2\ell_i - (\ell,q)q_i\right) + C\frac{(m,q)}{q^2}\left(q^2m_i - (m,q)q_i\right) + D\frac{(n,q)}{q^2}\left(q^2n_i - (n,q)q_i\right) \tag{7.14}$$

The square of this covector reads

$$Y^2 = -\frac{1}{q^2}\left[A(k,q)^2 + B(\ell,q)^2 + C(m,q)^2 + D(n,q)^2\right]^2 + 2\big[AB(k,q)(\ell,q)(k,\ell) + AC(k,q)(m,q)(k,m) + AD(k,q)(n,q)(k,n) + BC(\ell,q)(m,q)(\ell,n) + BD(\ell,q)(n,q)(\ell,n) + CD(m,q)(n,q)(m,n)\big]\,. \tag{7.15}$$

The dispersion relation $q^4 = Y^2q^2$ now takes the form

$$q^4 = -\left[A(k,q)^2 + B(\ell,q)^2 + C(m,q)^2 + D(n,q)^2\right]^2 + 2q^2\big[AB(k,q)(\ell,q)(k,\ell) + AC(k,q)(m,q)(k,m) + AD(k,q)(n,q)(k,n) + BC(\ell,q)(m,q)(\ell,n) + BD(\ell,q)(n,q)(\ell,n) + CD(m,q)(n,q)(m,n)\big]\,. \tag{7.16}$$

In order to study certain conclusions of these formulas, we consider some specific examples.

D. Examples

1. Skewon of rank 1

In the case $B = C = D = 0$, we have a symmetric skewon of the lowest rank 1. The dispersion relation (7.16) takes the form

$$q^4 + A^2(k,q)^4 = 0 \tag{7.17}$$

Since both terms in the left hand side are positive, the unique solution must satisfy

$$q^2 = 0\,, \qquad (k,q) = 0 \tag{7.18}$$

Geometrically this is a low dimensional intersection of the light cone with a 3 dimensional hyperspace. It can be a point $q_i = 0$ or two second-dimensional lines lying on the light cone. It is quite doubtful whether some physically meaningful situation can be attributed to this solution.

2. Diagonal skewon of rank 2

A generic symmetric skewon of rank 2 can be generated by choosing $C = D = 0$ in Eq.(7.13). In this case, the dispersion relation takes the form

$$q^4 \;=\; -\left[A(k,q)^2 + B(\ell,q)^2\right]^2 + 2ABq^2(k,q)(\ell,q)(k,\ell)\,. \tag{7.19}$$

This relation can be considered as a quadratic equation relative to the variable q^2. In order to have real solutions, the following inequality must hold

$$A^2B^2(k,q)^2(\ell,q)^2(k,\ell)^2 \geq \left[A(k,q)^2 + B(\ell,q)^2\right]^2. \tag{7.20}$$

Let us consider, for example, a simplest symmetric skewon of rank 2 with two non-zero components

$$S_{00} = S_{11} = A\,. \tag{7.21}$$

This skewon is evidently traceless, $g^{ij}S_{ij} = 0$. It is convenient to proceed now with $Y_i = S_{ij}q^j$, i.e. in a gauge $\theta = 0$ instead of the Lorenz-type gauge. The skewon covector takes the form

$$Y_i = (A\omega, -Ak_1, 0, 0)\,. \tag{7.22}$$

We calculate

$$(Y,q) = A(\omega^2 + k_1^2)\,, \qquad Y^2 = A^2(\omega^2 - k_1^2)\,. \tag{7.23}$$

Thus the dispersion relation in Eq.(5.12) takes the form

$$q^4 - A^2(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2)q^2 + A^2(\omega^2 + \mathrm{k}_1^2)^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.24}$$

From this equation, we derive that the solution cannot be null. Moreover, every solution $q_i = (\omega, \mathrm{k}_1, \mathrm{k}_2, \mathrm{k}_3)$ must satisfy the inequality $|\omega| < |\mathrm{k}_1|$. It is with the correspondence with the inequality $q^2 < 0$.

The solution of Eq.(7.24) can be rewritten as

$$q^2 = \frac{A^2}{2}\left(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2\right) \pm \frac{A}{2}\sqrt{\left(A^2-4\right)\left(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2\right)^2 - 16\omega^2 k_1^2} \tag{7.25}$$

Let us analyze the solutions of these equations for different values of the skewon parameter.

(1) For $A = 0$, we have here the non-modified light cone $q^2 = 0$.

(2) For $0 < |A| \leq 2$ there are no real solutions at all.

(3) For $|A| > 2$, there are two real solutions.

In Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 we depict the images of these algebraic cones, We observe that the light cones intersect only at the origin, thus optic axes absent.

3. *Non-diagonal skewon of rank 2*

We consider another example of a symmetric skewon of rank 2 of a non-diagonal type. Let the non-zero components of the symmetric traceless matrix S_{ij} be

$$S_{01} = S_{10} = B\,. \tag{7.26}$$

We assume the gauge $\theta = 0$, consequently the skewon covector takes the form $Y_i = S_{ij}q^j$. Its components are expressed as

$$Y_0 = -B\mathrm{k}_1\,, \quad Y_1 = Bw\,, \quad Y_2 = Y_3 = 0\,. \tag{7.27}$$



FIG. 5: Section $k_3 = 0$ of the algebraic cones of the diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameter $A = 4$. w is directed as z-axis, k_1, k_2 are directed as x and y axes respectively.



FIG. 6: Section $w = 1$ of the algebraic cones of the diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameters $A = 4$. k_1, k_2, k_3 are directed as x, y and z axes respectively.

Consequently,

$$Y^2 = -B^2(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2)\,, \qquad (Y,q) = -2Bw\mathrm{k}_1\,. \tag{7.28}$$

The dispersion relation, $q^4 = q^2Y^2 - (q,Y)^2$ now becomes

$$q^4 + q^2B^2(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2) + 4B^2\omega^2\mathrm{k}_1^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.29}$$

The solutions of this equation read

$$q^2 = -\frac{B^2}{2}(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2) \pm \frac{B}{2}\sqrt{B^2(\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}_1^2)^2 - 16\omega^2\mathrm{k}_1^2}\,. \tag{7.30}$$

We observe that this solution exists for an arbitrary value of the parameter B. This means that the parametric gap is absent in this model.

In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, we present the sections light cones corresponding to (7.29). The cones do not intersect and thus there are no the optic axes in this case.



FIG. 7: Section $k_3 = 0$ of the algebraic cones of the non-diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameter $B = 4$. The component w is directed as z-axis, k_1, k_2 are directed as x and y axes respectively.



FIG. 8: Section $w = 1$ of the algebraic cones of the non-diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameters $B = 4$. The components k_1, k_2, k_3 are directed as x, y and z axes respectively.

4. *Skewon of rank 3*

A generic symmetric skewon of the rank 3 can be derived from Eq.(7.13) by choosing the parameter $D = 0$. Instead, we consider a simplest diagonal symmetric traceless skewon of rank 3 with three non-zero components

$$S_{00} = 2A\,, \quad S_{11} = S_{22} = A\,. \tag{7.31}$$

The non-zero components of the skewon covectror are

$$Y_0 = 2Aw, \quad Y_1 = -Ak_1, \quad Y_2 = -Ak_2\,. \tag{7.32}$$

Consequently

$$(Y,q) = A\left(2\omega^2 + z^2\right), \qquad Y^2 = A^2\left(4\omega^2 - z^2\right), \tag{7.33}$$

where the notation $z^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2$ is used. The dispersion relation taken in the form $q^4 - q^2Y^2 + (Y,q)^2 = 0$ reads

$$q^4 - A^2\left(4\omega^2 - z^2\right)q^2 + A^2\left(2\omega^2 + z^2\right)^2 = 0\,. \tag{7.34}$$

The solution of this biquadratic equation is

$$q^2 = \frac{A^2}{2}\left(4\omega^2 - z^2\right) \pm \frac{A}{2}\sqrt{A^2\left(4\omega^2 - z^2\right)^2 - 4\left(2\omega^2 + z^2\right)^2}\,. \tag{7.35}$$

Rearranging the terms under the square root we get

$$q^2 = \frac{A^2}{2}\left(4\omega^2 - z^2\right) \pm \frac{A}{2}\sqrt{f_1(\omega,z)f_2(\omega,z)}\,, \tag{7.36}$$

where

$$f_1(\omega,z) = 4(A-1)\omega^2 - (A+2)z^2, \tag{7.37}$$

and

$$f_2(\omega,z) = 4(A+1)\omega^2 - (A-2)z^2\,. \tag{7.38}$$

For $A = 0$, Eq.(7.36) represents the non-modified light cone $q^2 = 0$.

For $0 < |A| \leq 1$, the term $f_1(w,z)$ is non-positive and the term $f_2(w,z)$ is non-negative. Moreover, if one of these terms vanishes, the unique solution of Eq.(7.34) is the zero vector $q_i = 0$. Consequently, there are no non-zero solutions of the dispersion relation in this case.

For $1 < |A| \leq 2$, the discriminant can be positive in some directions. However, the whole equation does not have real solutions. This can be easily observed taking into account the fact that $q^2 \leq 0$.

5. *Skewon of rank 4*

In order to complete our analysis, we recall the known example of the diagonal symmetric skewon of rank 4. In the form used in [30], the non-zero components of its matrix S_{ij} read

$$S_{00} = 3A\,, \qquad S_{11} = S_{22} = S_{33} = A\,. \tag{7.39}$$

We will use the gauge $\theta = 0$, so the skewon covector is given by $Y_i = S_{ij}q^j$. It has the components ($\alpha = 1,2,3$)

$$Y_0 = 3Aw\,, \qquad Y_\alpha = -A\mathrm{k}_\alpha\,. \tag{7.40}$$

Consequently,

$$Y^2 = A^2(9\omega^2 - \mathrm{k}^2)\,, \qquad (Y,q) = A(3\omega + \mathrm{k}^2)\,. \tag{7.41}$$

The dispersion relation (5.9) now takes the form

$$\omega^4 - 2\omega^2\mathrm{k}^2\left(1 - 8A^2\right) + \mathrm{k}^4 = 0\,. \tag{7.42}$$

The solutions are

$$\frac{\omega^2}{\mathrm{k}^2} = \left(1 - 8A^2\right) \pm 4\sqrt{4A^4 - A^2}\,. \tag{7.43}$$

Consequently we have the following cases:



FIG. 9: Section $k_2 = 0$ of the algebraic cones of the non-diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameter $A = 4$. The component w is directed as z-axis, k_1, k_3 are directed as x and y axes respectively.



FIG. 10: Section $w = 0$ of the algebraic cones of the non-diagonal rank 2 symmetric skewon (7.24). The parameter $A = 10$. The component k_1, k_2, k_3 are directed as x, y and z axes respectively.

(1) For $|A| = 0$, Eq.(7.43) has a unique solution – the ordinary light cone $\omega^2 = \mathrm{k}^2$.

(2) For $0 < |A| \leq 1/2$, Eq.(7.43) does not have real solutions at all.

(3) For $1/2 < |A|$, the right hand side of Eq.(7.43) is real and negative. Thus there is no wave propagation absents also in this case.

Consequently, in the isotropic symmetric skewon model wave propagation is absent. This already was observed in [30].

VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we study an electromagnetic system with a generic local linear response. We presented here a new formalism based on the notion of optic tensor. This tensor completely describes the wave propagation; in particular, the dispersion relation is represented as the adjoint of the optic tensor. The decomposition of the optic tensor into symmetric and skew symmetric parts is directly related to the irreducible decomposition of the constitutive tensor into principle and skewon parts, respectively. Moreover, we show that the skewon optic tensor is represented by the skewon covector, which is defined only up to addition of a term proportional to the wave covector. Consequently, the Lorenz-type gauge condition is introduced. These notations simplify the dispersion relation considerably.

We apply the optic tensor formalism to the problem of wave propagation in media with a pseudo-Riemannian principle part and a generic skewon part. We proved that independently of a specific type of the skewon field, the wave covector in such a medium is necessary space-like or null.

Special types of the antisymmetric and symmetric skewon are considered. For the antisymmetric skewon, the light cone separates into two light cones – the ordinary one $q^2 = 0$ and the extraordinary with $q^2 > 0$. This is a case of birefringence. We derived a degenerate case where the extraordinary light cone turns into a hyperplane. Moreover, for a special magnetic type, the extraordinary light cone has a space-like axis. This behavior can be interpreted as the situation where two cones are not observable both together, i.e., as absence of the birefringence phenomenon. For all types of the antisymmetric skewon, we proved that there are exactly two optic axes and derive their explicit form.

Using the skewon covector formalism, we studied some new properties of the symmetric skewon models. In particular we observed the parametric gap that appears in most models, but not in all of them. In order to distinguish the different types of the symmetric skewon we used the notion of rank of the corresponding matrix. This way we derived the general vector parametrization of the symmetric skewon. Dispersion relations for the symmetric skewon models of different rank where derived. We worked out some specific examples that present different types of modified light cone structures.

Our analysis shows that a skewon field is able to describe certain new types of Lorentz violations in electrodynamics that are not accounted for in models based on a modified Lagrangian.

In our opinion, the skewon model provides a rich subject for algebraical analysis and the physical considerations of the modified light cone structure.

It is straightforward to observe that the unusual features of wave propagation in the skewon media are mostly related to the real skewon. The situation changes crucially when we turn to an imaginary skewon field. Such

a case might be even more relevant in the problems of crystal physics. The corresponding treatment will be presented in a separate publication.

Acknowledgments

My acknowledgments to F. Hehl (Cologne/Missouri), Yu. Obukhov (Cologne/Moskow), V. Perlick (ZARM, Bremen), C. Laemmerzahl (ZARN, Bremen), Y. Friedman (JCT, Jerusalem), and I. Godin (HUJI, Jerusalem) for valuable discussions. I acknowledge the GIF grant No. 1078-107.14/2009 for financial support.

Appendix A: Calculation of the second adjoint

For an arbitrary matrix M^{ij}, the second adjoint is defined as

$$B_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2!}\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon_{klrs}M^{mr}M^{ns}\,. \tag{A1}$$

Let us calculate this expression in the term of the skewon optic covector. Substituting (3.21) into (A1) we write it as

$$B_{ijkl}(Q) = \frac{1}{2!}\big(\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon^{mrab}q_aY_b\big)\cdot\big(\varepsilon_{klrs}\varepsilon^{nscd}q_cY_d\big)\,. \tag{A2}$$

Using the standard formulas for the product of two permutation tensors we have

$$\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon^{mrab}q_aY_b = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^r_i & \delta^a_i & \delta^b_i \\ \delta^r_j & \delta^a_j & \delta^b_j \\ \delta^r_n & \delta^a_n & \delta^b_n \end{vmatrix} q_aY_b = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^r_i & q_i & Y_i \\ \delta^r_j & q_j & Y_j \\ \delta^r_n & q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix}. \tag{A3}$$

Similarly,

$$\varepsilon_{klrs}\varepsilon^{nscd}q_cY_d = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^n_k & \delta^c_k & \delta^d_k \\ \delta^n_l & \delta^c_l & \delta^d_l \\ \delta^n_r & \delta^c_r & \delta^d_r \end{vmatrix} q_cY_d = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^r_i & q_k & Y_k \\ \delta^r_j & q_l & Y_l \\ \delta^r_n & q_r & Y_r \end{vmatrix}. \tag{A4}$$

Thus

$$B_{ijkl} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\begin{vmatrix} \delta^r_i & q_i & Y_i \\ \delta^r_j & q_j & Y_j \\ \delta^r_n & q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix}\cdot\begin{vmatrix} \delta^r_i & q_k & Y_k \\ \delta^r_j & q_l & Y_l \\ \delta^r_n & q_r & Y_r \end{vmatrix} \tag{A5}$$

Expanding the third order determinants we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ijkl} \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^r_i\begin{vmatrix} q_j & Y_j \\ q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix} - \delta^r_j\begin{vmatrix} q_i & Y_i \\ q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix} + \delta^r_n\begin{vmatrix} q_i & Y_i \\ q_j & Y_j \end{vmatrix}\right) \\ & \cdot\left(\delta^n_k\begin{vmatrix} q_l & Y_l \\ q_r & Y_r \end{vmatrix} - \delta^n_l\begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_r & Y_r \end{vmatrix} + \delta^n_r\begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_l & Y_l \end{vmatrix}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Term by term multiplication yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ijkl} \;=\; & \begin{vmatrix} q_j & Y_j \\ q_k & Y_k \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} q_l & Y_l \\ q_i & Y_i \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} q_j & Y_j \\ q_l & Y_l \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_i & Y_i \end{vmatrix} \\ =\; & (q_jY_k - q_kY_j)(q_lY_i - q_iY_l) - \\ & (q_jY_l - q_lY_j)(q_kY_i - q_iY_k) \\ =\; & (q_iY_j - q_jY_i)(q_kY_l - q_lY_k)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Expanding these expressions we come to a compact formula

$$B_{ijkl} \;=\; (q_iY_j - q_jY_i)(q_kY_l - q_lY_k)\,. \tag{A8}$$

It can be also written in matrix form

$$B_{ijkl} \;=\; \begin{vmatrix} q_i & Y_i \\ q_j & Y_j \end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_l & Y_l \end{vmatrix}. \tag{A9}$$

Note that the symmetry properties

$$B_{ijkl} = -B_{jikl} = -B_{klij} \tag{A10}$$

and also

$$B_{ijkl} = B_{klij} \tag{A11}$$

evidently hold for these representations.

Appendix B: Proof of Proposition 1

It is useful to involve the second adjoint notion. For a square matrix M, the second adjoint ${}^{(2)}\mathrm{adj}(M)$ is defined by removing two rows and two columns. So it is represented as a 4-th order tensor

$$B_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2!}\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon_{klrs}M^{mr}M^{ns}\,. \tag{B1}$$

Evidently,

$$B_{ijkl} = -B_{jikl} = -B_{klij}\,. \tag{B2}$$

For a symmetric or a skew-symmetric matrix M, but not for a generic matrix,

$$B_{ijkl} = B_{klij}\,. \tag{B3}$$

In term of the second adjoint, the dispersion relation (4.11) takes the form

$$A_{ik}(P) + B_{ijkl}(Q)P^{jl} = 0\,, \tag{B4}$$

In our formalism, the skewon contribution to the dispersion relation is completely represented by the second term of (B4). Let us calculate this expression in the term of the skewon optic covector. Substituting (3.21) into (B1) we have

$$B_{ijkl}(Q) = \frac{1}{2!}\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon_{klrs}\varepsilon^{mrab}\varepsilon^{nscd}q_aq_cY_bY_d\,. \tag{B5}$$

Using the standard formulas for the product of permutation tensors, we calculate

$$\varepsilon_{ijmn}\varepsilon^{mrab}q_aY_b = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_i^r & q_i & Y_i \\ \delta_j^r & q_j & Y_j \\ \delta_n^r & q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix} . \qquad \text{(B6)}$$

Consequently,

$$B_{ijkl}(Q) = \frac{1}{2!}\begin{vmatrix} \delta_i^r & q_i & Y_i \\ \delta_j^r & q_j & Y_j \\ \delta_n^r & q_n & Y_n \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \delta_k^n & q_k & Y_k \\ \delta_l^n & q_l & Y_l \\ \delta_r^n & q_r & Y_r \end{vmatrix} . \qquad \text{(B7)}$$

Evaluating the determinants we obtain a compact formula

$$B_{ijkl}(Q) = \begin{vmatrix} q_i & Y_i \\ q_j & Y_j \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_l & Y_l \end{vmatrix} . \qquad \text{(B8)}$$

Consequently, the contribution of the skewon part into the dispersion relation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{jl}B_{ijkl}(Q) &= P^{jl}\begin{vmatrix} q_i & Y_i \\ q_j & Y_j \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} q_k & Y_k \\ q_l & Y_l \end{vmatrix} \\ &= q_iq_k\left(P^{jl}Y_jY_l\right) . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B9)}$$

Observe that this expression is given by a scalar multiplied by q_iq_k. It is in a correspondence with (4.5). Thus we proved Proposition 1.

[1] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 124021 (1999).
[2] M. Bojowald, H. A. Morales-Tecotl and H. Sahlmann, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 084012 (2005).
[3] V. A. Kostelecky and R. Potting, Nucl. Phys. B **359**, 545 (1991).
[4] V. A. Kostelecky and R. Potting, Phys. Lett. B **381**, 89 (1996)
[5] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 021601 (2006).
[6] G. W. Gibbons, J. Gomis and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 081701 (2007).
[7] V. A. Kostelecky and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 056005 (2002).
[8] V. A. Kostelecky and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 011601 (2007).
[9] V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
[10] R. Bluhm, S. -H. Fung and V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065020 (2008).
[11] V. Perlick, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 365 (2006).
[12] J. Skakala and M. Visser, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19**, 1119 (2010).
[13] C. Lammerzahl, D. Lorek and H. Dittus, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 1345 (2009).
[14] C. Lammerzahl, V. Perlick and W. Hasse, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104042 (2012).
[15] Y. Itin, C. Lmmerzahl and V. Perlick, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 124057 (2014).
[16] C. Pfeifer and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 044039 (2011).
[17] E. Minguzzi, "Light cones in Finsler spacetime," arXiv:1403.7060 [math-ph].
[18] A. Sommerfeld , *Electrodynamics* (New York: Academic Press, 1964).
[19] J. A. Stratton, *Electromagnetic Theory*, (McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1953).
[20] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1984).
[21] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics* (3ed., Wiley, 1999).
[22] F. Kottler, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wien IIa **131**, 119 (1922).
[23] E. Cartan, Ann. Ec. Norm. Super. **41**, 1, (1924).
[24] D. Van Dantzig, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **30**, 421 (1934); Proc. Acad. Amsterdam **37**, 521, 526, 644, 825 (1934).
[25] E. J. Post, *Formal Structure of Electromagnetics – General Covariance and Electromagnetics* (North Holland: Amsterdam, 1962).
[26] F. W. Hehl and Yu. N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux, and Metric* (Birkhäuser: Boston, MA, 2003).
[27] Y. N. Obukhov, T. Fukui and G. F. Rubilar, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 044050 (2000).
[28] Y. N. Obukhov and G. F. Rubilar, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 024042 (2002).
[29] C. Lammerzahl and F. W. Hehl, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 105022.
[30] Y. N. Obukhov and F. W. Hehl, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 125015 (2004).
[31] I. V. Lindell, *Methods for electromagnetic field analysis* (Oxford UP 1992).
[32] I. V. Lindell and A. H. Sihvola, J. Electromagn. Waves Appl., **19**, 861-869 (2005).
[33] F. W. Hehl and Y. N. Obukhov, Phys. Lett. **A334** 249-259 (2005).
[34] Y. Itin, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 087502 (2005).
[35] Y. Itin, J. Phys. A **40**, F737 (2007).
[36] G. F. Rubilar, Annalen Phys. **11**, 717 (2002).
[37] Y. Itin, J. Phys. A **42**, 475402 (2009).
[38] A. Favaro and L. Bergamin, Annalen Phys. **523**, 383 (2011).
[39] Boerner, H. 1970 *Representations of groups*. Amsterdam: North Holland.
[40] Hamermesh, M. 1989 *Group Theory and its Application to Physical Problems*. New York: Dover.
[41] M. F. Dahl, Annals Phys. **330**, 55 (2013).
[42] Y. Itin, Annals Phys. **327**, 359 (2012) [gr-qc/0401016].
[43] V. Perlick, *Ray Optics, Fermats Principle, and Applications to General Relativity* (Berlin, Springer, 2000)
[44] V. Perlick, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 042903 (2011)
[45] A. Lichnerowicz, *Magneto-hydrodynamics waves and shock waves* (Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic, 1994)

[46] M. Kline and IW Kay, *Electromagnetic Theory and Geometrical Optics* (New York, Interscience, 1965)
[47] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1231 (1990).
[48] Y. Itin, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 025012 (2004).
[49] Y. Itin, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1219 (2008).
[50] Y. Itin, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 087505 (2007).
[51] D. Giulini, Lect. Notes Phys. **702**, 45 (2006)
[52] A. C. Hearn, *REDUCE User's Manual, Version 3.5* RAND Publication CP78 (Rev. 10/93). The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138, USA (1993). Nowadays Reduce is freely available for download; for details see [`reduce-algebra.com`] and [`sourceforge.net`].
[53] W.-T. Ni, Phys. Lett. A **378**, 1217 (2014)
[54] Y. Itin, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 107502 (2013).
[55] Y. Itin, "Electromagnetic media with Higgs-type spontaneously broken transparency," Phys. Lett. A (2014) DOI: 10.1016/j.physleta.2014.12.024
[56] P. Baekler, A. Favaro, Y. Itin and F. W. Hehl, Annals Phy. **349** 297 (2014).